Sara Heinämaa Vili Lähteenmäki Pauliina Remes Editors

STUDIES IN THE HISTORY OF PHILOSOPHY OF MIND

Consciousness

From Perception to Reflection in the History of Philosophy



CONSCIOUSNESS

From Perception to Reflection in the History of Philosophy

STUDIES IN THE HISTORY OF PHILOSOPHY OF MIND

Volume 4

Editors

Henrik Lagerlund, Uppsala University, Sweden

Mikko Yrjönsuuri, Academy of Finland and University of Jyväskylä,

Finland

Board of Consulting Editors

Lilli Alanen, Uppsala University, Sweden

Joël Biard, University of Tours, France

Michael Della Rocca, Yale University, U.S.A.

Eyjólfur Emilsson, University of Oslo, Norway

André Gombay, University of Toronto, Canada

Patricia Kitcher, Columbia University, U.S.A.

Simo Knuuttila, University of Helsinki, Finland

Béatrice M. Longuenesse, New York University, U.S.A.

Calvin Normore, University of California, Los Angeles, U.S.A.

Aims and Scope

The aim of the series is to foster historical research into the nature of thinking and the workings of the mind. The volumes address topics of intellectual history that would nowadays fall into different disciplines like philosophy of mind, philosophical psychology, artificial intelligence, cognitive science, etc. The monographs and collections of articles in the series are historically reliable as well as congenial to the contemporary reader. They provide original insights into central contemporary problems by looking at them in historical contexts, addressing issues like consciousness, representation and intentionality, mind and body, the self and the emotions. In this way, the books open up new perspectives for research on these topics.

CONSCIOUSNESS

From Perception to Reflection in the History of Philosophy

Edited by

SARA HEINÄMAA University of Helsinki, Finland

VILI LÄHTEENMÄKI University of Jyväskylä, Finland

and

PAULIINA REMES University of Helsinki, Finland and Uppsala University, Sweden



A C.I.P. Catalogue record for this book is available from the Library of Congress.

ISBN 978-1-4020-6081-6 (HB) ISBN 978-1-4020-6082-3 (e-book)

Published by Springer, P.O. Box 17, 3300 AA Dordrecht, The Netherlands.

www.springer.com

Printed on acid-free paper

All Rights Reserved © 2007 Springer

No part of this work may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, microfilming, recording or otherwise, without written permission from the Publisher, with the exception of any material supplied specifically for the purpose of being entered and executed on a computer system, for exclusive use by the purchaser of the work.

CONTENTS

| Acknowledgements | ix |
|---|----|
| Contributors | X |
| Introduction | 1 |
| Historical Sensitivities | 1 |
| 'Consciousness' | 6 |
| Intentionality | 10 |
| Subjectivity | 14 |
| Reflexivity and Reflection | 20 |
| Naturalizing Consciousness | 23 |
| PART I: ANCIENT AND ARABIC PHILOSOPHY | |
| 1. On Plato's Lack of Consciousness | 29 |
| Amber Carpenter | |
| 1.1 Losing Consciousness | 29 |
| 1.2 Why What-It's-Like Doesn't Matter | 33 |
| 1.3 Our Kind of Soul | 38 |
| 1.4 The Consequences of Mind (<i>Philebus</i>) | 41 |
| 1.5 Conclusion | 47 |
| 2. The Problem of Consciousness in Aristotle's Psychology | 49 |
| Juha Sihvola | |
| 2.1 Perceptual Consciousness | 51 |
| 2.2 Emotions and Thinking | 57 |
| 2.3 Self-Consciousness and its Limits | 62 |

CONTENTS

| 3. | Ownness of Conscious Experience in Ancient Philosophy | 67 |
|----|---|------------|
| | Pauliina Remes | |
| | 3.1 Subjective and Objective? | 69 |
| | 3.2 Branches of Ownness | 78 |
| | 3.3 Conclusion | 93 |
| 4. | Sense-Perception and Self-Awareness: Before and After Avicenna Jari Kaukua and Taneli Kukkonen | 95 |
| | 4.1 The Greek Commentators on Reflection and Perceptual | |
| | Awareness | 97 |
| | 4.2 Avicenna on the Internal Senses and Animal | |
| | Self-Awareness | 101 |
| | 4.3 After Avicenna: Self-Evidence and Self-Inspection | 112 |
| | PART II: MEDIEVAL PHILOSOPHY AND EARLY | |
| | MODERN THOUGHT | |
| 5. | Intention and Presence: The Notion of Presentialitas | |
| | in the Fourteenth Century | 123 |
| | Joël Biard | |
| | 5.1 From Duns Scotus to Peter Aureoli | 127 |
| | 5.2 From Aureoli to Peter of Ailly | 136 |
| 6. | The Structure of Self-Consciousness: | |
| | A Fourteenth-Century Debate | 141 |
| | Mikko Yrjönsuuri | |
| | 6.1 The Act of Awareness | 143 |
| | 6.2 William Ockham's Theory | 144 |
| | 6.3 Walter Chatton's Critique | 147 |
| | 6.4 Further Problems | 149 |
| | 6.5 Adam Wodeham's Postscript | 150 |
| 7. | Augustine and Descartes on the Function of Attention | |
| | in Perceptual Awareness | 153 |
| | Deborah Brown | 155 |
| | 7.1 Modern Psychological Perspectives | 155 |
| | 7.2 Augustine7.3 Descartes | 158 |
| | 7.4 Conclusion | 168 174 |
| | 7.4 Coliciusion | 1/4 |

| CONTENTS | vii |
|----------|-----|
| | |

| 8. Orders of Consciousness and Forms of Reflexivity in Descartes | 177 |
|--|-----|
| Vili Lähteenmäki | |
| 8.1 Introduction | 177 |
| 8.2 Consciousness as Thinking of Thinking | 183 |
| 8.3 Rudimentary and Reflexive Consciousness | 186 |
| 8.4 The Three Types of Consciousness and Infinite Regress | 194 |
| 8.5 Reflexivity as Intentional Structure of Consciousness | |
| and as Phenomenal Givenness | 197 |
| 8.6 Relation of Reflexive Consciousness to Attentive | |
| Reflection | 199 |
| 9. The Status of Consciousness in Spinoza's Concept of Mind | 203 |
| Jon Miller | |
| 9.1 Consciousness in the Seventeenth Century | 204 |
| 9.2 The Problem | 211 |
| 9.3 Two Solutions | 212 |
| 9.4 Philosophy of Mind Sans Consciousness | 219 |
| PART III: FROM KANT TO CONTEMPORARY DISCUSSION | NS |
| 10. Human Consciousness and its Transcendental Conditions: | |
| Kant's Anti-Cartesian Revolt | 223 |
| Kenneth R. Westphal | |
| 10.1 Introduction | 223 |
| 10.2 The Modern "New Way of Ideas" | 225 |
| 10.3 Kant's Transcendental Grounds for Rejecting | |
| Cartesianism | 229 |
| 10.4 Conclusion | 243 |
| 11. The Living Consciousness of the German Idealists | 245 |
| Susanna Lindberg | |
| 11.1 Fichte | 248 |
| 11.2 Schelling | 253 |
| 11.3 Hegel | 259 |
| 11.4 Conclusion | 264 |
| 12. The Heidelberg School and the Limits of Reflection | 267 |
| Dan Zahavi | 267 |
| 12.1 The Higher-Order Theory | 267 |
| 12.2 The Heidelberg School | 270 |

| | • | • • | ٠ |
|----|---|-----|---|
| V. | 1 | 11 | ı |

CONTENTS

| | 12.3 Tugendhat's Criticism | 279 |
|-----|--|-----|
| | 12.4 Conclusion | 281 |
| 13. | Contemporary Naturalism and the Concept of Consciousness | 287 |
| | Neil Manson | |
| | 13.1 Epistemic Consciousness | 288 |
| | 13.2 Subjective Qualitative Consciousness | 291 |
| | 13.3 Naturalism | 292 |
| | 13.4 Contemporary Naturalism and the Concept | |
| | of Consciousness | 297 |
| | 13.5 Conclusion | 307 |
| 14. | Selfhood, Consciousness, and Embodiment: | |
| | A Husserlian Approach | 311 |
| | Sara Heinämaa | |
| | 14.1 The Transcendental Ego: Act-Pole, Person, and Monad | 313 |
| | 14.2 Personhood and Embodiment | 321 |
| | | |
| Bib | liography | 329 |
| Nai | ne Index | 355 |
| Sub | ject Index | 359 |

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

As editors, we wish to thank our colleagues for the collaboration and help in different phases of the writing and editing of this book. We confine ourselves to naming those directly involved in the project: our thanks are due to the anonymous referee of Springer, Dan Lloyd, Sami Pihlström, Panu Raatikainen, Juhana Toivanen and Mikko Yrjönsuuri for their comments on the subject matter and the project in its different phases. We thank Olli Sinivaara for his translation work and Bethany Fox for improving the language of the Introduction chapter, as well as Timo Miettinen, Timo Pankakoski and Anne Lehto for the important help in editing the volume. We also wish to acknowledge the interest that Floor Oosting, the philosophy editor of Springer, showed in our undertaking from its very early phases. This project was hosted by the University of Helsinki, especially the Helsinki Collegium for Advanced Studies, and financially supported by the Academy of Finland.

Finally, we most gratefully acknowledge the support of our families and significant others.

Sara Heinämaa, Vili Lähteenmäki and Pauliina Remes

CONTRIBUTORS

Joël Biard

Centre d'Études Supérieures de la Renaissance, Université François Rabelais, Tours

Deborah Brown

University of Queensland

Amber Carpenter

University of St. Andrews

Sara Heinämaa

Helsinki Collegium for Advanced Studies, University of Helsinki

Jari Kaukua

University of Jyväskylä

Taneli Kukkonen

University of Jyväskylä University of Victoria

Vili Lähteenmäki

University of Jyväskylä

Susanna Lindberg

University of Helsinki

Neil Manson

The Institute for Philosophy and Public Policy, Lancaster University

Jon Miller

Queen's University

Pauliina Remes

University of Helsinki University of Uppsala

Juha Sihvola

Helsinki Collegium for Advanced Studies, University of Helsinki

Kenneth R. Westphal

University of Kent

Mikko Yrjönsuuri

University of Jyväskylä

Dan Zahavi

Danish National Research Foundation: Center for Subjectivity Research, University of Copenhagen

INTRODUCTION

SARA HEINÄMAA, VILI LÄHTEENMÄKI AND PAULIINA REMES

This book is about consciousness. It illuminates the concept in its complexity and richness, capturing its theoretical and philosophical significance as well as its problematic aspects. By taking a new look into the history of concepts, the collection questions several deep-seated assumptions about consciousness – assumptions both thematic and methodological. It argues that, even though our predecessors did not formulate their philosophical queries in terms of consciousness, they have much to offer to our current disputes concerning its central features, such as reflexivity, subjectivity and aboutness, as well as related themes, from selfhood to attention and embodiment. At the same time, the collection demonstrates that consciousness is not just an issue in the philosophy of mind, but is bound to ontology, epistemology and moral theory. We can find premodern and early modern concepts and arguments that are interesting and even crucial to our own philosophical concerns, but we should not assume that these belong or contribute to any theory of mind isolated from metaphysical and ethical discussions: an argument that for us provides insightful descriptions of perception or self-awareness might to its writer have meant not just a theoretization of the soul or the mind, but also, and perhaps more importantly, a contribution to ethics or ontology. The study of the past shows that our contemporary notion of consciousness has long and complex roots; some of these roots go back to medieval and ancient discussions, but some branch off closer to our era and relate to other historical disputes.

HISTORICAL SENSITIVITIES

A common strategy in the history of philosophy today is to argue that our predecessors did not have the concepts with which we operate. This approach was developed as a critical reaction to early twentieth-century universalism, which claimed that we can find seeds of all our philosophical

problems and concepts in works as early as those of Plato and Aristotle. The universalists believed that the best service that a philosopher could do his ancient predecessors was to provide a rational reconstruction of their thoughts and arguments. Contemporary historical sensitivity problematizes such attempts as anachronistic, and argues that we cannot simply assume that the philosophical tools which we have developed to solve our own problems capture, without any difficulties, the core content or sense of past discussions. Instead of a rational or intellectual reconstruction, the task is to provide a historical reconstruction that takes into account the philosophical context, conceptual framework and cultural environment in which the discussion developed.¹ Such studies have shown that many contemporary concepts have no clear counterparts in ancient, medieval or even early modern discussions. The concept of consciousness is a good example: our modern ancestors Descartes and Locke, for example, defined this concept in a way that was partially similar to ours, but the use that they had for it differed significantly. Their primary interest was not in contributing to any philosophy of mind or in developing a theory of the mental, but in reforming metaphysics and moral theory.

Today, this historical sensitivity is shared equally by analytical philosophers and continental thinkers. Through different routes, both have come to realize that philosophy is not a set of eternal and unchanging problems, nor a cumulative science, but includes the continual task of interpretation and reinterpretation. The task of interpretation is understood in different ways by these two schools or traditions. In the analytical tradition, interpretation is primarily understood as semantic work with linguistic and logical units, such as propositions, arguments and theories. Thus, the analytical history of philosophy can be said to share the general analytical tendency for understanding philosophical problems – in this case the problems of historicity and traditionality – in semantic terms. The aim is to explicate the philosophical concepts and theories of our predecessors, and to learn from their analyses and reasoning.² In the continental movement, interpretation is

_

¹ Skinner, Quentin, "Meaning and understanding in the history of ideas", *History and Theory* 8 (1969), 3–53; Rorty, Richard, "The historiography of philosophy: Four genres", in R. Rorty, J. Schneewind and Q. Skinner (eds.), *Philosophy in History: Essays on the Historiography of Philosophy* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press), 49–75.

² Simo Knuuttila characterizes this approach generally by the term 'philosophical-historical semantics', and tracks it back to Richard McKeon's early article on the methods used in history of philosophy. See Knuuttila, Simo, "Kadonneet merkitykset – filosofinen historiallinen semantiikka", in S. Heinämaa, M. Reuter and

understood as an existential task which, in addition to conceptual work, includes the challenge of change and becoming. The ancient text is not just an object of investigation, but also an active expression, which imposes its categories on the interpreter and forces him to question his own philosophical habits and prejudices. The reading of the text does not aim at any sort of reconstruction – rational or historical – but rather works to destroy the naiveté with which we use our contemporary concepts.³

Contemporary historians of philosophy are not interested in obvious cases of conceptual history: it is hardly surprising to learn that the concepts of sense datum, qualia, neural network and unconsciousness are relatively novel. Rather, the historian tries to tackle concepts which are more central to our theoretical disputes and which structure larger areas of phenomena and facts. Consciousness and related concepts, such as selfhood and subjectivity, are excellent targets for such critiques. These terms have multiple usages in current theorizing, they structure whole fields of investigation, from psychology to cognitive science, and they have several functions even in everyday discussions. The radical historical claim is that these concepts are modern or pre-modern innovations, arising from the writings of Augustine and Descartes, and missing from the works of Plato and Aristotle. Some researchers argue that the concept of consciousness emerged because of extra-philosophical social, political or economical changes: certain non-philosophical practices and interests developed and affected philosophical

M. Yrjönsuuri (eds.), Spiritus Animalis: kirjoituksia filosofian historiasta (Helsinki: Gaudeamus, 2003), 19–28; Knuuttila, Simo, "Hintikka's view of the history of philosophy", in R.E. Auxier and L.E. Hahn (eds.), The Philosophy of Jaakko Hintikka (Chicago, La Salle, Illinois: Open Court, 2006), 87–105; cf. McKeon, Richard, "Historical semantics and philosophical semantics", in Freedom and History: The Semantics of Philosophical Controversy and Ideological Conflict (New York: Noonday Press Inc., 1952), 19–42. For the historical roots of analytical philosophy, see Michael Dummett's influential work Origins of Analytical Philosophy (London: Duckworth, 1993).

³ Heidegger, Martin, *Being and Time*, trans. John Macquarrie and Edward Robinson (Oxford: Blackwell, 1992), original *Sein und Zeit* (1927); Gadamer, Hans Georg, *Truth and Method*, 2nd revised edition, trans. J. Weinsheimer and D.G. Marshall (New York: Crossroad, 1989), original *Wahrheit und Methode* (1975); Foucault, Michel, "Nietzsche, genealogy, history", trans. J. Harari, in P. Rabinow (ed.), *The Foucault Reader* (Harmondsworth: Penguin, 1984), 76–100, original "Nietzsche, la généalogie et l'histoire" (1971).