Springer Proceedings in Mathematics

Christian Bär Joachim Lohkamp Matthias Schwarz *Editors* 

### Global Differential Geometry



# **Springer Proceedings in Mathematics**

Volume 17

For further volumes: http://www.springer.com/series/8806

### **Springer Proceedings in Mathematics**

The book series will feature volumes of selected contributions from workshops and conferences in all areas of current research activity in mathematics. Besides an overall evaluation, at the hands of the publisher, of the interest, scientific quality, and timeliness of each proposal, every individual contribution will be refereed to standards comparable to those of leading mathematics journals. It is hoped that this series will thus propose to the research community well-edited and authoritative reports on newest developments in the most interesting and promising areas of mathematical research today.

Christian Bär • Joachim Lohkamp Matthias Schwarz Editors

# **Global Differential Geometry**



Editors Christian Bär Universität Potsdam Institut für Mathematik Postfach 60 15 53 14415 Potsdam Brandenburg Germany baer@math.uni-potsdam.de

Joachim Lohkamp Universität Münster Mathematisches Institut Einsteinstraße 62 48149 Münster Germany j.lohkamp@uni-muenster.de Matthias Schwarz Universität Leipzig Fakultät für Mathematik und Informatik Johannisgasse 26 04103 Leipzig Sachsen Germany mschwarz@math.uni-leipzig.de

ISSN 2190-5614 ISBN 978-3-642-22841-4 e-ISBN 978-3-642-22842-1 DOI 10.1007/978-3-642-22842-1 Springer Heidelberg Dordrecht London New York

Library of Congress Control Number: 2011943494

Mathematical Subject Classification (2010): 53CXX; 53DXX; 58JXX

© Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2012

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilm or in any other way, and storage in data banks. Duplication of this publication or parts thereof is permitted only under the provisions of the German Copyright Law of September 9, 1965, in its current version, and permission for use must always be obtained from Springer. Violations are liable to prosecution under the German Copyright Law.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

Printed on acid-free paper

Springer is part of Springer Science+Business Media (www.springer.com)

### Preface

This collection of research papers provides an overview over some of the progress that has been made in major areas in Differential Geometry in the past few years. It is centred around the scientific activities within the Priority Programme "Global Differential Geometry" supported by the German Research Foundation – Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) – from 2003 until 2009. This Priority Programme, and hence the present volume, covers the following areas as well as their mutual connections:

- Global Riemannian Geometry
- Geometric Analysis
- Symplectic Geometry

In particular this volume offers the following topics:

The contributions to Global Riemannian Geometry include existence and obstruction results for metrics with particular properties, such as metrics under particular curvature and/or holonomy constraints, or metrics of low-dimensional geometries. Interesting aspects of geometric limits are also included. Some papers discuss asymptotic geometries, Euclidean buildings or singular spaces.

One of the topics in Geometric Analysis is the spectral geometry of elliptic operators on Riemannian manifolds, including their applications in differential topology. Another one is the geometry and analysis of Lorentzian manifolds, as well as classical and quantum fields on Lorentzian manifolds. Progress on mean curvature flow and scalar curvature constraints are also discussed.

Finally, the Symplectic Geometry section considers new aspects of Floer Homology and Contact Structures on odd-dimensional manifolds.

We hope this panoramic collection of papers will be helpful and inspiring.

Potsdam Münster Leipzig Christian Bär Joachim Lohkamp Matthias Schwarz

## Contents

### Part I Riemannian Geometry

Holonomy Groups and Algebras Lorenz J. Schwachhöfer	3
Entropies, Volumes, and Einstein Metrics Dieter Kotschick	39
Kac-Moody Geometry Walter Freyn	55
<b>Collapsing and Almost Nonnegative Curvature</b> Wilderich Tuschmann	93
Algebraic Integral Geometry Andreas Bernig	107
Metric Properties of Euclidean Buildings Linus Kramer	147
Part II Geometric Analysis	
Holonomy Groups of Lorentzian Manifolds: A Status Report	163
Some Curvature Problems in Semi-Riemannian Geometry Felix Finster and Marc Nardmann	201
Mean Curvature Flow in Higher Codimension: Introduction and Survey Knut Smoczyk	231
Positive Scalar Curvature, K-area and Essentialness Bernhard Hanke	275

Differential K-Theory: A Survey Ulrich Bunke and Thomas Schick	303
Classical and Quantum Fields on Lorentzian Manifolds Christian Bär and Nicolas Ginoux	359
<b>Computations and Applications of</b> $\eta$ <b>Invariants</b> Sebastian Goette	401
Part III Symplectic Geometry	
Rabinowitz Floer Homology: A Survey         Peter Albers and Urs Frauenfelder	437
<b>Contact Structures and Geometric Topology</b> Hansjörg Geiges	463
On Product Structures in Floer Homology of Cotangent Bundles	491

# Part I Riemannian Geometry

### **Holonomy Groups and Algebras**

Lorenz J. Schwachhöfer

#### 1 Introduction

An affine connection is one of the basic objects of interest in differential geometry. It provides a simple and invariant way of transferring information from one point of a connected manifold M to another and, not surprisingly, enjoys lots of applications in many branches of mathematics, physics and mechanics. Among the most informative characteristics of an affine connection is its holonomy group which is defined as the subgroup  $Hol_p(M) \subset \operatorname{Aut}(T_pM)$  consisting of all automorphisms of the tangent space  $T_pM$  at  $p \in M$  induced by parallel translations along *p*-based loops.

The notion of *holonomy* first arose in classical mechanics at the end of the 19th century. It was Heinrich Hertz who used the terms "*holonomic*" and "non-*holonomic*" constraints in his magnum opus Die Prinzipien der Mechanik, in neuen Zusammenhängen dargestellt ("The principles of mechanics presented in a new form") which appeared one year after his death in 1895. For a more detailed exposition of the early origins of the holonomy problem, see also [21].

The notion of holonomy in the mathematical context seems to have appeared for the first time in the work of E.Cartan [30, 31, 33]. He considered the Levi-Civita connection of a Riemannian manifold M, so that the holonomy group is contained in the orthogonal group. He showed that in this case, the holonomy group is always connected if M is simply connected. Moreover, he observed that  $Hol_p(M)$  and  $Hol_q(M)$  are conjugate via parallel translation along any path from p to q, hence the holonomy group  $Hol(M) \subset Gl(n, \mathbb{R})$  is well defined up to conjugation.

L. J. Schwachhöfer (🖂)

Fakultät für Mathematik, Technische Universität Dortmund, Vogelpothsweg 87, 44221 Dortmund, Germany

e-mail: lschwach@math.uni-dortmund.de

C. Bär et al. (eds.), Global Differential Geometry, Springer Proceedings

in Mathematics 17, DOI 10.1007/978-3-642-22842-1\_1,

<sup>©</sup> Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2012

Cartan's interest in holonomy groups was due to his observation that for a *Riemannian symmetric space*, the holonomy group and the isotropy group coincide up to connected components, as long as the symmetric space contains no Euclidean factor. This insight he used to classify Riemannian symmetric spaces [32].

In the 1950s, the concept of holonomy groups was treated more thoroughly. In 1952, Borel and Lichnerowicz [16] proved that the holonomy group of a Riemannian manifold is always a Lie subgroup, possibly with infinitely many components. In the same year, de Rham [37] proved what is nowadays called the *de Rham Splitting theorem*. Namely, if the holonomy of a Riemannian manifold is reducible, then the metric must be a local product metric; if the manifold is in addition complete and simply connected, then it must be a Riemannian product globally. In 1954, Ambrose and Singer proved a result relating the Lie algebra of the holonomy group and the curvature map of the connection [2].

A further milestone was reached by M.Berger in his doctoral thesis [9]. Based on the theorem of Ambrose and Singer, he established necessary conditions for a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g} \subset \operatorname{End}(V)$  to be the Lie algebra of the holonomy group of a torsion free connection, and used it to classify all irreducible non-symmetric holonomy algebras of Riemannian metrics, i.e., such that  $\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{so}(n)$ . This list is remarkably short. In fact, it is included in (and almost coincides with) the list of connected linear groups acting transitively on the unit sphere. This fact was proven later directly by J.Simons [66] in an algebraic way. Recently, C.Olmos gave a beautiful simple argument showing this transitivity using elementary arguments from submanifold theory only [59].

Together with his list of possible *Riemannian* holonomy groups, Berger also gave a list of possible irreducible holonomy groups of *pseudo-Riemannian manifolds*, i.e., manifolds with a non-degenerate metric which is not necessarily positive definite. Furthermore, in 1957 he generalized Cartan's classification of Riemannian symmetric spaces to the isotropy irreducible ones [10].

In the beginning, it was not clear at all if the entries on Berger's list occur as the holonomy group of a Riemannian manifold. In fact, it took several decades until the last remaining cases were shown to occur by Bryant [16]. As it turns out, the geometry of manifolds with special holonomy groups are of utmost importance in many areas of differential geometry, algebraic geometry and mathematical physics, in particular in string theory. It would lead too far to explain all of these here, but rather we refer the reader to [11] for an overview of the geometric significance of these holonomies.

In 1998, S.Merkulov and this author classified all *irreducible* holonomy groups of torsion free connections [69]. In the course of this classification, some new holonomies were discovered which are *symplectic*, i.e., they are defined on a symplectic manifold such that the symplectic form is parallel. The first such symplectic example was found by Bryant [17]; later, in [34, 35] an infinite family of such connections was given. These symplectic holonomies share some striking rigidity properties which later were explained on a more conceptual level by M.Cahen and this author [26], linking them to parabolic contact geometry.

In this article, we shall put the main emphasis on the investigation of connections on principal bundles as all other connections can be deduced from these. This allows us to prove most of the basic results in greater generality than they were originally stated and proven. Thus, Sect. 2 is devoted to the collection of the basic definitions and statements, where in most cases, sketches of the proofs are provided. In Sect. 3, we shall collect the known classification results where we do not say much about the proofs, and finally, in Sect. 4 we shall describe the link of special symplectic connections with parabolic contact geometry.

#### **2** Basic Definitions and Results

#### 2.1 Connections on Principal Bundles

Let  $\pi : P \to M$  be a (right)-principal *G*-bundle, where *M* is a connected manifold and *G* is a Lie group with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ . A *principal connection on P* may be defined as a  $\mathfrak{g}$ -valued one-form  $\omega \in \Omega^1(P) \otimes \mathfrak{g}$  such that:

- 1.  $\omega$  is *G*-equivariant, i.e.,  $r_{g^{-1}}^*(\omega) = Ad_g \circ \omega$  for all  $g \in G$ ,
- 2.  $\omega(\xi^*) = \xi$  for all  $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$ , where  $\xi_p^* := \frac{d}{dt}|_{t=0}(p \cdot \exp(t\xi))$  denotes the action field corresponding to  $\xi$ .

Here,  $r_g : P \to P$  denotes the right action of G. Alternatively, we may define a principal connection to be a G-invariant splitting of the tangent bundle

$$TP = \mathscr{H} \oplus \mathscr{V}$$
, where  $\mathscr{V}_p = \ker(d\pi)_p = \operatorname{span}(\{\xi_p^* \mid \xi \in \mathfrak{g}\})$  for all  $p \in P$ . (1)

In this case,  $\mathscr{H}$  and  $\mathscr{V}$  are called the *vertical* and *horizontal space*, respectively.

To see that these two definitions are indeed equivalent, note that for a given connection one-form  $\omega \in \Omega^1(P) \otimes \mathfrak{g}$ , we may define  $\mathscr{H} := \ker(\omega)$ ; conversely, given the splitting (1), we define  $\omega$  by  $\omega|_{\mathscr{H}} \equiv 0$  and  $\omega(\xi^*) = \xi$  for all  $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$ ; it is straightforward to verify that this establishes indeed a one-to-one correspondence.

The curvature form of a principal connection is defined as

$$\Omega := \mathrm{d}\omega + \frac{1}{2}[\omega, \omega] \in \Omega^2(P) \otimes \mathfrak{g}.$$
 (2)

For its exterior derivative we get

$$d\Omega + [\omega, \Omega] = 0. \tag{3}$$

By the *Maurer-Cartan equations*, it follows from (2) that

$$\xi^* \, \square \, \Omega = 0 \text{ for all } \xi \in \mathfrak{g}, \text{ and } dr_g^*(\Omega) = Ad_g \circ \Omega.$$
(4)

A (piecewise smooth) curve  $c : [a, b] \to P$  is called *horizontal* if  $c'(t) \in \mathcal{H}_{c(t)}$ for all  $t \in [a, b]$ . Evidently, for every curve  $\underline{c} : [a, b] \to M$  and  $p \in \pi^{-1}(\underline{c}(a))$ , there is a unique horizontal curve  $c^p : [a, b] \to P$ , called *horizontal lift of c*, with  $\underline{c} = \pi \circ c^p$  and  $c^p(a) = p$ . Since by the *G*-equivariance of  $\mathcal{H}$  we have  $c^{p \cdot g} = r_g \circ c^p$ , the correspondence

$$\Pi_{\underline{c}}: \pi^{-1}(\underline{c}(a)) \longrightarrow \pi^{-1}(\underline{c}(b)), \qquad p \longmapsto c^{p}(b)$$

is *G*-equivariant and is called *parallel translation along*  $\underline{c}$ . The *holonomy at*  $p \in P$  is then defined as

$$Hol_p := \{g \in G \mid p \cdot g = \prod_{\underline{c}}(p) \text{ for } \underline{c} : [a, b] \to M \text{ with } \underline{c}(a) = \underline{c}(b)$$
$$= \pi(p)\} \subset G. \tag{5}$$

Evidently,  $Hol_p \subset G$  is a subgroup as we can concatenate and invert loops. Also, the *G*- equivariance of  $\mathcal{H}$  implies that

$$Hol_{p\cdot g} = g^{-1} Hol_p g. aga{6}$$

Moreover, if we pick any path  $\underline{c} : [a, b] \to M$  then, again by concatenating paths, we obtain for  $p \in \pi^{-1}(\underline{c}(a))$ 

$$Hol_{\Pi_c(p)} = Hol_p. \tag{7}$$

Thus, by (6) and (7) it follows that the holonomy group  $Hol \cong Hol_p \subset G$  is well defined up to conjugation in *G*, independent of the choice of  $p \in P$ .

We define the equivalence relation  $\sim$  on P by saying that

$$p \sim q$$
 if p and q can be joined by a horizontal path. (8)

Then definition (5) can be equivalently formulated as

$$Hol_p := \{ g \in G \mid p \cdot g \sim p \}.$$

$$\tag{9}$$

**Theorem 2.1.** (Ambrose-Singer-Holonomy Theorem [2]). Let  $\pi : P \to M$  be a principal *G*-bundle with a connection  $\omega \in \Omega^1(P) \otimes \mathfrak{g}$  and the corresponding horizontal distribution  $\mathscr{H} \subset TP$ .

1. The smallest involutive distribution on P which contains  $\mathcal{H}$  is the distribution

$$\mathscr{H}_p := \mathscr{H}_p \oplus \{\xi_p^* \mid \xi \in \mathfrak{hol}_p\},\$$

where  $\mathfrak{hol}_p \subset \mathfrak{g}$  is the Lie subalgebra generated by

$$\mathfrak{hol}_{p} = \langle \{ \Omega(\mathrm{d}\Pi_{\underline{c}}(v), \mathrm{d}\Pi_{\underline{c}}(w)) \mid v, w \in T_{p}P, \underline{c} : [a, b] \\ \to M \text{ any path with } \underline{c}(a) = \pi(p) \} \rangle.$$
(10)

# 2. The identity component of $(Hol_p)_0 \subset G$ is a (possibly non-regular) Lie subgroup with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{hol}_p$ .

*Proof.* Observe first that the dimension of the right hand side of (10) is independent of  $p \in P$ . Indeed, from the definition,  $\hat{\mathscr{H}}_{q:g} = dr_g(\hat{\mathscr{H}}_q)$ , so that this dimension is independent of the point in the fiber of P; moreover, if  $p \sim q$  and  $\underline{c} : [a, b] \to M$  is a path with horizontal lift joining p and q, then it follows from the very definition that  $\hat{\mathscr{H}}_q \cap \mathscr{V}_q = d\Pi_{\underline{c}}(\hat{\mathscr{H}}_p \cap \mathscr{V}_p)$ , and  $d\Pi_{\underline{c}}$  is an isomorphism.

To see that  $\hat{\mathscr{H}}$  is involutive, let  $\underline{X}, \underline{Y} \in \mathscr{X}(M)$  be vector fields and  $X, Y \in \mathscr{X}(P)$  be their horizontal lifts. Note that the flows  $\Phi_X^t$  and  $\Phi_X^t$  relate as

$$\Phi_X^t = \prod_{\underline{c}_{\underline{X}}^t}$$
, where  $\underline{c}_{\underline{X}}^t : [0, t] \to M$  is a trajectory of  $\underline{X}$ .

Therefore, if we let  $\hat{\mathcal{V}}_p := \{\xi_p^* \mid \xi \in \mathfrak{hol}_p\}$ , then the definition of  $\mathfrak{hol}_p$  implies that  $\Phi_X^t(\hat{\mathcal{V}}_p) = \hat{\mathcal{V}}_q$ , where  $q = \Phi_X^t(p)$  and thus,  $[X, \hat{\mathcal{V}}_p] \subset \hat{\mathcal{V}}_p$  for all horizontal vector fields X, i.e.,  $[\mathcal{H}, \hat{\mathcal{V}}] \subset \hat{\mathcal{H}}$ .

Next, by (2),  $[X, Y] = -\xi^*_{\Omega(X,Y)} \mod \mathscr{H}$  for all horizontal vector fields X, Y so that  $[\mathscr{H}, \mathscr{H}] \subset \hat{\mathscr{H}}$ ; finally,  $[\hat{\mathscr{V}}, \hat{\mathscr{V}}] \subset \hat{\mathscr{V}}$  as  $\mathfrak{hol}_n$  is a Lie algebra by definition.

Thus,  $\hat{\mathcal{H}} \subset P$  is an involutive distribution. Conversely, the above arguments show that any involutive distribution containing  $\mathcal{H}$  also contains  $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$ , so that  $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$  is minimal as asserted.

Let  $P_0 \subset P$  be a maximal leaf of  $\hat{\mathscr{H}}$ , let  $p_0 \in P_0$  and let

$$H := \{g \in G \mid p_0 \cdot g \in P_0\} \subset G.$$

Since  $\mathscr{H}$  and hence  $\mathscr{\hat{H}}$  is *G*-invariant, it follows that  $H \subset G$  is a subgroup. In fact,  $H \subset G$  is a (possibly non-regular) Lie subgroup since  $H \cong P_0 \cap \pi^{-1}(\pi(p_0))$ . In fact, the restriction  $\pi : P_0 \to M$  is a principal *H*-bundle.

Standard arguments now show that  $P_0$  is indeed a single equivalence class w.r.t.  $\sim$ , so that  $H = Hol_{p_0}$  is a Lie subgroup of G with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{hol}_p$ . See e.g. [5] for details.

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $P \to M$  be a principal *G*-bundle, and let  $H \subset G$  be a (possibly non-regular) Lie subgroup of *G*. We call a (possibly non-regular) submanifold  $P' \subset P$  an *H*- reduction of *P* if the restriction  $\pi : P' \to M$  is a principal *H*-bundle.

In particular, a maximal leaf  $P_0 \subset P$  of the distribution  $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$  from Theorem 2.1 is called a *holonomy reduction of* P which is therefore a reduction with structure group  $Hol \subset G$ . We denote the restriction of  $\omega$ ,  $\Omega$  and  $\mathcal{H}$  to  $P_0$  by the same symbols.